



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/458,689 | 12/10/1999 | RYO FUJIMOTO | 35.G2512 | 9176 |

5514 7590 10/05/2005

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10112

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2167

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/458,689

Applicant(s)

FUJIMOTO ET AL.

Examiner

Sathyanarayan Pannala

Art Unit

2167

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 22-29, 31-37, 39-46 and 48-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 22-29, 31-37, 39-46 and 48-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

AT

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/15/2005 has been entered.

2. Applicant's Amendment filed on 8/15/2005 has been entered with amended claims 1, 10, 18, 27, 35, 44 and 58-60. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 22-29, 31-37, 39-46 and 48-60 are pending in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 22-29, 31-37, 39-46 and 48-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman (US Patent 5,761,655) hereinafter Hoffman, and in view of Schwartz et al. (US Patent 5,905,988) hereinafter Schwartz.

5. As per independent claims 1, 18, 35, 58, 60, Hoffman teaches a system to store, retrieve and display images of thumbnail size. The size of the thumbnails displayed can be changed to allow visually reviewing a large number or concentrating on a smaller number of but larger size images after reduction in the number of thumbnails.

Thumbnails as well as other image files and other related text files are indexed and searched using keywords. The search can be performed using personalized super-keywords, which are combinations of keywords and other file and data characteristics as keywords (col. 4, lines 8-21). Hoffman teaches the claimed "image storage means for storing a plurality of images" as the system reduces the original image size to the thumbnail image and stores the color data in the record (Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 18-21).

Art Unit: 2167

Further, Hoffman teaches the claimed “selecting means for selecting a single image from the plurality of images, wherein the single image includes a plurality of objects” as the image files are retrieved, by conventional systems, thumbnails are created and displayed and it is well known that the single image includes plurality of objects (Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 64-66). Further, Hoffman teaches the claimed “input means (examiner interpreted input as clicking on keywords from Hoffman) [see Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 19-23] for inputting relevant information (examiner interpreted relevant information as pixel data from Hoffman) for each of the plurality of objects within single image [see Fig. 3, col. 6, lines 43]. Further, Hoffman does not explicitly teaches a word describing an interrelationship with at least another object. However, Schwartz teaches “a word describing an interrelationship between one object with at least one other object” as one picture on the web along with any accompanying text describing the content picture (col. 13, lines 18-21). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention, to combine the teachings of the cited references because Schwartz’s teachings would have allowed Hoffman’s method to provide adoptive serial presentation of the desired items (col. 3, lines 28-30). Finally, Hoffman teaches the claimed “memory means for storing the relevant information input said input means in association with the single image” as the closest match is saved 172 as the pixel in the thumbnail image (at Fig. 5, col. 7, lines 2-14).

6. As per dependent claims 2, 19, 36, Hoffman teaches the claimed “the relevant information includes at least one of a general name of an object, a qualifier therefor, a proper in thereof, and a position thereof” as the system allows the user to indicate number of keywords matching and as well as designating certain keywords as must match keywords (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 15-23).

7. As per dependent claims 3, 20, 37, Further, Hoffman teaches the claimed “the relevant information includes information expressing a state (examiner interpreted state as color from Hoffman) [see Fig. 15, col. 11, line 23] of an object in the single image” as a color selection 406 of screen 400 allows the colors of the image to be selected using color boxes (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 23-25).

8. As per dependent claims 5, 22, 39, Hoffman teaches the claimed “a plurality of words can be specified as the qualifier” as keyword selection section 402 allows the user to indicate number of matches (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 15-18).

9. As per dependent claims 6, 23, 40, Hoffman teaches the claimed “input means includes position designating (examiner interpreted designating as must match from Hoffman) [see Fig. 15, col. 11, line 21] means designating a position of an object in the single image, and display means for displaying an input window used to input relevant information concerning the object at the designated position” as one of the keyword selected is allowed to indicate as a must match keyword 415 by must match key depressed (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 19-23).

10. As per dependent claims 7, 24, 41, Hoffman teaches the claimed “the position designating means designates positions of two mutually-related objects in the single image” as the must match keyword will indicate the closely related to the image in comparison to other selected key words (Fig.15, col. 11, lines 19-23).

11. As per dependent claims 8, 25, 42, Hoffman teaches the claimed “retrieval requirement input means for inputting requirements for retrieval, and image retrieving means for retrieving images that meet the requirements for retrieval inputted by said retrieval requirement input means” as the disclosure is designed to allow fast matching of m out of n keywords (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 39-48).

12. As per dependent claims 9, 26, 43, Hoffman teaches the claimed “input means inputs supplementary information including at least one of imaging-related information of the single image, special object information thereof, category formation thereof, impression information thereof, time information thereof, place information thereof, weather information thereof, and event information thereof” as three databases are involved in the keyword search and disclosed in detail (at Fig. 16, col. 11, lines 49-64).

13. As per independent claims 10, 27, 44, 59, Hoffman teaches a system to store, retrieve and display images of thumbnail size. The size of the thumbnails displayed can be changed to allow visually reviewing a large number or concentrating on a smaller

number of but larger size images after reduction in the number of thumbnails.

Thumbnails as well as other image files and other related text files are indexed and searched using keywords. The search can be performed using personalized super-keywords, which are combinations of keywords and other file and data characteristics as keywords (col. 4, lines 8-21). Hoffman teaches the claimed "image storage means for storing a plurality of images, wherein each image includes a plurality of objects" as the system reduces the original image size to the thumbnail image and stores the color data in the record and it is well known that the single image includes plurality of objects (Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 18-21). Further, Hoffman teaches the claimed "memory means for storing identification information for each of the plurality of objects in association with relevant information (examiner interpreted relevant information as pixel data from Hoffman) for the plurality of objects [see Fig. 3, col. 6, lines 43]. Further, Hoffman does not explicitly teach a word describing an interrelationship with at least another object. However, Schwartz teaches "a word describing an interrelationship between one object with at least one other object" as one picture on the web along with any accompanying text describing the content picture (col. 13, lines 18-21). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention, to combine the teachings of the cited references because Schwartz's teachings would have allowed Hoffman's method to provide adoptive serial presentation of the desired items (col. 3, lines 28-30). Further, Hoffman teaches the claimed "retrieval requirement input means for inputting requirements for retrieval" as the invention allow fast match of m out of n keywords when hundreds of thousands of files are being managed and

Art Unit: 2167

provides a unique method of searching keyword data (Fig. 15, col. 11 lines 39-43).

Finally, Hoffman teaches the claimed “retrieving means for retrieving an image that meets the requirements for retrieval inputted by said retrieval requirement input means based on the relevant information stored in said memory means” as a dominant color and original height and width allow index search on three criteria (Fig. 7A col. 8, lines 56-59).

14. As per dependent claims 11, 28, 45, Hoffman teaches the claimed “wherein the relevant information includes at least one a general name of an object, a qualifier therefor, a proper noun thereof, and a position thereof” as the system allows the user to indicate number of keywords matching and as well as designating certain keywords as must match keywords (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 15-23).

15. As per dependent claims 12, 29, 46, Hoffman teaches the claimed “wherein the relevant information includes information pressing a state (examiner interpreted state as color from Hoffman) [see Fig. 15, col. 11, line 23] of an object in the single image” as a color selection 406 of screen 400 allows the colors of the image to be selected using color boxes (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 23-25).

16. As per dependent claims 14, 31, 48, Hoffman teaches the claimed “the qualifier is compromise of a plurality of words can be specified as the qualifier” as keyword selection section 402 allows the user to indicate number of matches (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 15-18).

17. As per dependent claim 15, 32, 49, Hoffman teaches the claimed “further comprising a position designating means for designating a position of an object of interest in the single image, and display means for displaying an input window used to input the relevant information concerning the object at the designated position” as one of the keyword selected is allowed to indicate as a must match keyword 415 by must match key depressed (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 19-23).

18. As per dependent claim 16, 33, 50, Hoffman teaches the claimed “wherein said position designating means designates positions of two mutually-related objects in the single image” as the must match keyword will indicate the closely related to the image in comparison to other selected key words (Fig.15, col. 11, lines 19-23).

19. As per dependent claim 17, 34, 51, Hoffman teaches the claimed “wherein said input means inputs supplementary information including at least one of imaging-related information of the single image, special object information thereof, category information thereof, impression information thereof, time information thereof, place information thereof, weather information thereof, and event information thereof” as three databases are involved in the keyword search and disclosed in detail (at Fig. 16, col. 11, lines 49-64).

20. As per dependent claim 52-57, Hoffman teaches the claimed "the relevant information is textual information" as the reminder of the space of the screen 210 to be used for text or other data, which needs to be combined with the images (Fig. 9, col. 9, lines 9-13).

Response to Arguments

21. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/15/2005 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground of rejection. Hoffman, as combined with the newly found Schwartz teach each and every limitation as discussed above.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sathyanarayan Pannala whose telephone number is (571) 272-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2167

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Sathyanarayan Pannala
Examiner
Art Unit 2167

srp
October 3, 2005